

Editor's Note: As [reported](#) by *LifeSiteNews* on Monday of this week (Dec. 10, 2018), "The United States Supreme Court will not hear Kansas and Louisiana's appeals defending their efforts to cut off Medicaid funds to Planned Parenthood, effectively forcing taxpayer funding of abortion to continue and signaling similar pro-life efforts in other states will fail." Sadly, this is so because Chief Justice John Roberts and newly appointed Justice Brett Kavanaugh chose to side with the Court's "[liberal bloc](#)" in refusing to review the cases. In early September, when Kavanaugh's confirmation hearings were just beginning, CFN published a [commentary piece](#) by Cliff Kincaid that laid out the reasons why Kavanaugh was the wrong choice. In light of this week's news, we now publish the following commentary, also by Kincaid, as a reminder that this latest disappointment is really not too surprising.

Citizen journalist David Risselada has written a book, [Psychopolitics in America: A Nation Under Conquest](#), in which he explains how so many are being led astray by what passes for the "conservative media." Consider the case of Supreme Court Justice Brett Kavanaugh, who was sold by Fox News and other beltway groups as a conservative pro-lifer. After Kavanaugh's Monday ruling in favor of Planned Parenthood, many grassroots conservatives are beginning to realize they were misled about his real record. One conservative website called it a "betrayal."

It wasn't a surprise to us. My group, America's Survival, Inc., was virtually alone in exposing Kavanaugh's real record on abortion and other social issues. We published the 44-page report, "[The Deep State Wears Black Robes](#)" and [called](#) his nomination a "Trojan Horse." Yet, Fox News and other conservative media, as well as various Washington, D.C.-based conservative groups, had advertised Kavanaugh as a strong conservative. Many of them were invited to the White House to listen to Kavanaugh hail the legacy of "liberty" of the man he would replace, pro-abortion and pro-gay Justice Anthony Kennedy. That turned out to be an indication of Kavanaugh's liberal direction on the court.

We had conducted a careful study of Kavanaugh's record, noting that he was a virtual clone of Justice Kennedy. A liberal Catholic, Kavanaugh actually had a record of ruling against evangelical chaplains. But one leading conservative told me I had gone off "the deep end" by opposing Kavanaugh. Fox News refused to cover our detailed critique of his record. It was a very controlled "debate" with "both sides" defined so as to exclude the real truth.

But Fox News wasn't alone in stacking the deck. All of the major conservative websites fell for the ruse that Kavanaugh was a conservative. They know who they are. They owe their readers an apology.

Kavanaugh's ruling against pro-lifers will protect taxpayer funding of the abortion industry. A [liberal commentator](#) was ecstatic: "Justice Kavanaugh just saved Planned Parenthood from red states attempting to defund the clinic network." Another said Justices Kavanaugh and John Roberts "joined with the court's progressives to preserve Planned Parenthood's public funding."

Drawing upon the material at our website, [America's Survival, Inc.](#), Risselada's book quotes from one of our reports on Kavanaugh's Deep State and Washington insider background. Of course, Soros-funded groups were organizing against Kavanaugh. But we saw the opposition as largely fake and designed to get liberal-left forces agitated about the future of the court. In short, it was an organizing tool that accelerated when the sex charges were launched against him. In truth, Kavanaugh was one of the best judges they could hope for from a Republican president.

Our opinion was that they were going through the motions of opposition in a dialectical maneuver designed to force conservatives in line behind him. A much better nominee would have been Judge Amy Coney Barrett, a conservative woman with a clear pro-life record. But she didn't have Kavanaugh's Washington establishment connections.

In light of Monday's ruling, Mat Staver of Liberty Counsel said that he isn't ready to throw in the towel on Kavanaugh just yet, expressing the hope that another abortion case could come before the court in 2019 and that Kavanaugh and Roberts could end up on the right side.

But pro-life author Gregg Jackson, who opposed Kavanaugh, isn't surprised by his ruling in favor of the abortion industry:

"We all know President Trump and the Republicans promised to defund Planned Parenthood in the run-up to the 2016 election, to get the pro-life Evangelical and Catholic vote. Instead of defunding Planned Parenthood when they had the ability to do so with a Republican congressional majority, Republican president, and Republican-appointed majority Supreme Court, not only did they fully fund Planned Parenthood baby killers/body parts sellers, giving them over a billion dollars in the last 24 months but they also increased funding by 37 percent. Republican-appointed judges gave us the pro-abortion rulings *Roe* and *Casey* and have funded Planned Parenthood at a greater clip than Democrats have!"

It's fascinating to note that [an old photograph](#) has surfaced showing a young Brett

Kavanaugh appearing with a young lawyer by the name of Rod Rosenstein. It turns out they were both on the staff of Independent Counsel Kenneth Starr, who went through the motions of investigating the Clintons without pursuing charges of murder in the Vincent Foster death case. Rosenstein, now the United States Deputy Attorney General, appointed Robert Mueller as the Russia-gate prosecutor.

As we argued in "The Deep State Wears Black Robes," if Mueller or another prosecutor subpoenas Trump, and Trump refuses to comply, the case could very likely appear before the Supreme Court, where Kavanaugh could emerge as the deciding vote.

We noted that the progressives are already calling for Kavanaugh to recuse himself from anything related to the Russia investigation if it comes before the Supreme Court.

But rather than recuse himself, Kavanaugh could actually rule in favor of Mueller and the Russia-gate probe. He could vote against Trump in order to counter the criticism that he believes presidents can't be subpoenaed and are "above the law."

The chickens are coming home to roost. President Trump, who is in the midst of a White House staff shake-up, should root out those who betrayed him in the Kavanaugh matter.

For their part, responsible conservatives who backed Kavanaugh and either were deceived or consciously deceived the American people concerned about human life issues have no option other than to propose impeachment of the court's newest Justice.

Reprinted with permission from [America's Survival, Inc.](#)